Continuation of a Linear Operator to an Involution Operator

M. I. Kadets and K. É. Kaibkhanov

UDC 517

ABSTRACT. A bounded linear operator $A: X \to X$ in a linear topological space X is called a *p-involution* operator, $p \ge 2$, if $A^p = I$, where I is the identity operator. In this paper, we describe linear *p*-involution operators in a linear topological space over the field $\mathbb C$ and prove that linear operators can be continued to involution operators.

KEY WORDS: linear topological space, linear operator, p-involution operator.

The main goal of this paper is to prove that a linear operator can be continued to an involution operator. A linear bounded operator $A \colon X \to X$ in a linear topological space X is called a p-involution operator, $p \geq 2$, if $A^p = I$, where I is the identity operator; for p = 2, A is called an involution. In this paper, consider only bounded linear operators. The operators of the form $A = e^{2\pi ki/p}I$, $0 \leq k \leq p-1$, provide the simplest example of linear p-involution operators in a linear topological space X over $\mathbb C$. The following statement shows that the set of such operators is essentially exhausted by the operators of the form $A = e^{2\pi ki/p}I$, $0 \leq k \leq p-1$.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $A\colon X\to X$ is a linear p-involution operator in a linear topological space X over \mathbb{C} . Let $\varepsilon=e^{2\pi i/p}$. Then there exist subspaces $X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_p\subset X$ such that

- 1) $X = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_p$;
- 2) $A|_{X_k} = \varepsilon^k I|_{X_k}$, $0 \le k \le p-1$.

Proof. Consider the operators

$$Q_k = \frac{1}{p} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (\varepsilon^{p-k} A)^j \right), \qquad 1 \le k \le p;$$

here we assume $A^0 = I$. Straightforward verification shows that the Q_k are projections (that is, $Q_k^2 = Q_k$). We set $X_k = Q_k(X)$. One can easily see that the X_k have the desired properties. \square

Note that for p = 2, this theorem also holds for spaces over the field \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 2. Let $A: X \to X$ be a linear operator in a linear topological space X (over the field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}) and let $p \geq 2$. Then there exists a linear topological space $Z \supset X$ with the projection operator $P: Z \to X$ (X can be complemented to Z) and a linear p-involution operator $B: Z \to Z$ such that $PB|_{X} = A$.

Proof. Let X_2, X_3, \ldots, X_p be copies of the space $X, X_1 = X$, and let $U_k \colon X \to X_k$ be the canonical isometries, $U_1 = I|_X$. We form the direct sum $Z = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_p$. Let $Q_k \colon Z \to X_k$ be the canonical projections. We define the operators

$$V_1 = U_2 U_1^{-1} Q_1, \quad V_2 = U_3 U_2^{-1} Q_2, \quad \dots, \quad V_{p-1} = U_p U_{p-1}^{-1} Q_{p-1}, \quad V_p = U_1 U_p^{-1} Q_p,$$

$$V = V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_p.$$

Next, we define an operator $S: Z \to X$ by setting $S = U_2^{-1}Q_2 + U_3^{-1}Q_3 + \cdots + U_p^{-1}Q_p$ and construct the isomorphism T = I + AS. One can readily see that $T^{-1} = I - AS$. Finally, we define the operator $B: Z \to Z$ with the required properties by setting $B = TVT^{-1}$ (in this case, $P = Q_1$). \square

Translated from *Matematicheskie Zametki*, Vol. 61, No. 5, pp. 671-676, May, 1997. Original article submitted July 13, 1995.

Let us consider the case of a Hilbert space. It is natural to ask how to choose the best (with respect to the norm) operator B in Theorem 2. The previous proof implies the estimate $||B|| \le (1 + ||A||)^2$ for p = 2. The following statement shows that this estimate can be improved.

Theorem 3. For any linear operator $A: H \to H$ in a Hilbert space H (over the field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}), there exists a Hilbert space $E \supset H$ and a linear involution $B: E \to E$ such that

- 1) $PB|_{H} = A$, where $P: E \to H$ is the operator of orthogonal projection;
- 2) the following estimate holds:

$$||B|| \le \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{17 + 4\sqrt{2}}{2}} & \text{if } ||A|| \le \sqrt{2}; \\ \sqrt{4||A||^2 + \frac{1}{||A||^2} + 2\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } ||A|| > \sqrt{2}. \end{cases}$$

We prove this theorem in the case of an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space over \mathbb{R} ; this proof, however, remains valid in other cases. To prove Theorem 3, we need some auxiliary statements.

We introduce the following notation: $\langle x, y \rangle$ is the inner product of elements x and y; $[x_j]_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is the closed linear span of the vectors $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$.

Let $A: H \to H$ be a linear operator in a Hilbert space H, and let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis in H; we write $g_j = Ae_j$. Let F be a Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. We form the Hilbert space $Z = (H \oplus F)_2$ with the natural inner product $(\langle e_j, f_k \rangle = 0)$ and suppose that $Q: Z \to H$ is the natural projection operator. If $h \in Z$, then we sometimes write h = (e, f), having in mind that $e \in H$ and $f \in F$. We set $h_j = (g_j, tf_j) \in (H \oplus F)_2$, where t > 0 (later, we choose an appropriate t), and write $[h_j]_{j=1}^{\infty} = Y$.

Lemma 1. $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a basis in Y equivalent to the basis $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in H.

Proof. For an arbitrary system of numbers $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, we have

$$t^{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_{j}^{2}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}t^{2}a_{j}^{2} \leq \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_{j}g_{j}\right\|^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}t^{2}a_{j}^{2} = \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_{j}h_{j}\right\|^{2} = \left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_{j}Ae_{j}, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_{j}tf_{j}\right)\right\|^{2}$$

$$= \left\|A\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_{j}e_{j}\right)\right\|^{2} + t^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_{j}^{2} \leq \|A\|^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_{j}^{2} + t^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_{j}^{2} = (\|A\|^{2} + t^{2})\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_{j}^{2}. \quad \Box$$

Lemma 2. For any $e \in H$ and $h \in Y$,

$$|\langle e, h \rangle| \le \frac{\|A\| \cdot \|e\| \cdot \|h\|}{\sqrt{\|A\|^2 + t^2}}.$$
 (1)

Proof. By setting $h = (g, f) \in (H \oplus F)_2$, $g = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j g_j$, we obtain

$$|\langle e,h\rangle| = |\langle e,g\rangle| \leq \|e\|\cdot\|g\| = \frac{\|e\|\cdot\|g\|\cdot\|h\|}{\|h\|} = \frac{\|e\|\cdot\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_jg_j\|\cdot\|h\|}{\sqrt{\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_jg_j\right\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}t^2a_j^2}} \leq \frac{\|A\|\cdot\|e\|\cdot\|h\|}{\sqrt{\|A\|^2 + t^2}}. \quad \Box$$

Lemma 3. For any $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and any $h \in Y$,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e_j + h \right\| \ge \frac{\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e_j \right\| t}{\sqrt{\|A\|^2 + t^2}}.$$
 (2)

Proof. For brevity, we write $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e_j = e$. Then it follows from (1) that

$$\|e+h\|^2 = \|e\|^2 + 2\langle e,h\rangle + \|h\|^2 = \|e\|^2 \left[\left(\frac{\|h\|}{\|e\|} - \frac{\|A\|}{\sqrt{\|A\|^2 + t^2}} \right)^2 + \frac{t^2}{\|A\|^2 + t^2} \right] \ge \frac{\|e\|^2 t^2}{\|A\|^2 + t^2},$$

and the lemma is thereby proved.

Lemma 4. After the natural renumbering $e_1, h_1, e_2, h_2, \ldots$, the set $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \cup \{h_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ forms a basis in Z.

Proof. Assume that $x \in Z$ is arbitrary and

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e_j + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k f_k.$$

Then

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i e_j + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k h_k - \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k g_k = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i e_j - \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k g_k \right) + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k h_k.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{t}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}b_kg_k\in H,$$

it follows that there exist numbers $\{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{t}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}b_kg_k=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}d_je_j.$$

Hence,

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j - d_j)e_j + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k h_k,$$

that is, x can be decomposed with respect to the elements $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \cup \{h_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, and the coefficients in this decomposition are determined uniquely. It remains to prove that there exists a C > 0 such that the inequality [1, Proposition 1.a.3]

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} a_j e_j + \sum_{k=1}^{l+s} b_k h_k \right\| \ge C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e_j + \sum_{k=1}^l b_k h_k \right\|.$$

is satisfied for any n, m, l, and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, it suffices to prove this inequality for

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e_j + \sum_{k=1}^l b_k h_k \right\| = 1.$$

For brevity, we write

$$e = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j e_j, \qquad h = \sum_{k=1}^{l} b_k h_k.$$

Let ||e+h|| = 1. Then we have at least one of the cases: 1) $||e|| \ge 1/2$; 2) $||h|| \ge 1/2$. Let us consider each of them.

1) $||e|| \ge 1/2$. Using (2), we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} a_j e_j + \sum_{k=1}^{l+s} b_k h_k \right\| \ge \frac{\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} a_j e_j \right\| t}{\sqrt{\|A\|^2 + t^2}} \ge \frac{\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j e_j \right\| t}{\sqrt{\|A\|^2 + t^2}} \ge \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\|A\|^2 + t^2}}.$$

2) $||h|| \ge 1/2$. We have

$$||h|| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{l} b_k h_k \right\| = \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l} b_k g_k, t \sum_{k=1}^{l} b_k f_k \right) \right\| = \sqrt{\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{l} b_k g_k \right\|^2 + t^2 \sum_{k=1}^{l} b_k^2} \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since $||g_k|| \le ||A||$, the previous inequality implies

$$\sqrt{\|A\|^2 + t^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^l b_k^2} \ge \frac{1}{2},$$

and hence,

$$\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{l} b_k^2} \ge \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\|A\|^2 + t^2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} a_j e_j + \sum_{k=1}^{l+s} b_k h_k \right\| \ge t \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{l+s} b_k^2} \ge t \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{l} b_k^2} \ge \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\|A\|^2 + t^2}}.$$

Thus, in both cases we can take $C = t/(2\sqrt{\|A\|^2 + t^2})$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. 1) We set E=Z. By Lemma 4, we have $E=Z=H\oplus Y$. We define an operator $B:E\to E$ as follows:

$$B\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_je_j+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}b_kh_k\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}b_ke_k+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_jh_j.$$

Since the bases $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ are equivalent, the operator B is well defined. We set P=Q. For an arbitrary

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e_j \in H,$$

we have

$$PBx = PB\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e_j\right) = P\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j h_j\right) = P\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j g_j, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j t f_j\right)\right)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j g_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j A e_j = A\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e_j\right) = Ax,$$

that is, $PB|_{H} = A$, and statement 1) in Theorem 3 is thereby proved.

2) Let us estimate ||B||. Let

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e_j + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k h_k \right\| = 1.$$

Then it follows from (2) that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e_j \right\|^2 \le \frac{\|A\|^2 + t^2}{t^2}.$$
 (3)

We have

$$\sqrt{t^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^2} \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e_j + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k h_k \right\| = 1.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^2 \le \frac{1}{t^2}.\tag{4}$$

Using (3) and (4), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left\| B \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e_j + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k h_k \right) \right\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k e_k + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j h_j \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k e_k + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j g_j \right\|^2 + t^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 \\ &\leq \left(\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k e_k \right\| + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j g_j \right\| \right)^2 + t^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 \leq \left(\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^2 + \|A\|} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2} \right)^2 + \|A\|^2 + t^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^2 + 2\|A\| \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 + \|A\|^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 + \|A\|^2 + t^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{2\|A\| \sqrt{\|A\|^2 + t^2}}{t^2} + \frac{\|A\|^2 (\|A\|^2 + t^2)}{t^2} + \|A\|^2 + t^2. \end{split}$$

Thus, $||B||^2$ cannot exceed the last term in this chain. We consider the two cases: a) $||A|| \leq \sqrt{2}$ and b) $||A|| > \sqrt{2}$.

a) $||A|| \le \sqrt{2}$. We set $t = \sqrt{2}$. Then we obtain

$$||B|| \le \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + 2\sqrt{2} + 8} = \sqrt{\frac{17 + 4\sqrt{2}}{2}}.$$

b) $||A|| > \sqrt{2}$. In this case we set t = 1. Then one readily verify that

$$||B|| \le \sqrt{4||A||^2 + \frac{1}{||A||^2} + 2\sqrt{2}}.$$

Theorem 3 is proved. \square

References

- 1. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces. I. Sequence Spaces, Springer, Berlin (1977).
 - (M. I. KADETS) KHAR'KOV STATE ACADEMY OF MUNICIPAL ECONOMY
 - (K. É. KAIBKHANOV) TAGANROG RADIOTECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

Translated by M. A. Shishkova