To this end, we consider the symplectic group

$$
G=\operatorname{Sp}(2 n)=\left\{g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right), a, b, c, d \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n}(\mathbb{R}), g^{\prime} J g=J\right\}, \quad J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -I \\
I & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

(Here $g^{\prime}$ stands for the matrix transposed to $g$ and $I$ is the identity matrix.) The Weil representation $T(g), g \in G$, acts on the space $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by the relations [2, pp. 61-62]

$$
\left(T\left(g_{b}\right) f\right)(x)=\exp (\pi i(b x, x)), \quad g_{b}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & b \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right), b=b^{\prime}, \quad(T(J) f)(x)=\widehat{f}(x)
$$

Conditions (3) are equivalent to the relations $f_{2}=T\left(g_{a}\right) f_{1}, T(J) f_{2}=\lambda T\left(g_{b}\right) T(J) f_{1}$. Hence, $T\left(J^{-1} g_{-b} J g_{a}\right) f_{1}=\lambda_{1} f_{1}$, where $\left|\lambda_{1}\right|=1$. We set $h_{a, b}=J^{-1} g_{-b} J g_{a}$. Then we have

$$
h_{a, b}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & a \\
b & I+b a
\end{array}\right), \quad T\left(h_{a, b}\right) f_{1}=\lambda_{1} f_{1} .
$$

Thus, $f_{1}$ is an eigenvector of $T\left(h_{a, b}\right)$. This is possible only if the matrix $h_{a, b}$ is conjugate (in the group $G$ ) to an orthogonal matrix of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c & d \\
-d & c
\end{array}\right), \quad c, d \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n}(\mathbb{R}), c+i d \in U(n)
$$

The complete characterization of the pairs of symmetric matrices $a, b$ for which these properties are satisfied is unknown to the author. We can readily show that this condition holds for $a>0$ and $-4 a^{-1}<$ $b<0$ (the matrix inequalities are defined by means of quadratic forms). In this sufficient condition we can interchange the matrices $a$ and $b$ as well. Under these conditions, there exists a pair $f_{1}, f_{2}$ satisfying (3). For $n=1$, this pair can be written in the form (2). We omit the explicit formulas for functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$ from (3). We only note that these formulas involve Hermite's polynomials and exponential functions.

## References

1. B. Z. Moroz and A. M. Perelomov, Teor. Mat. Fiz., 101, No. 1, 60-65 (1994).
2. G. Lion and M. Vergne, The Weil Representation, Maslov Index, and Theta Series, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart (1980).

Translated by R. S. Ismagilov

Functional Analysis and Its Applications, Vol. 30, No. 2, 1996

## Absolute, Perfect, and Unconditional Convergence of Double Series*

## M. I. Kadets

A series $\sum x_{n}$ in a Banach space $X$ is said to be absolutely convergent if the number series $\sum\left\|x_{n}\right\|$ converges, perfectly convergent if the series $\sum \alpha_{n} x_{n}$.converges for any coefficients $\alpha_{n}= \pm 1$ (in this case we write $\alpha \in D$ ), and unconditionally convergent if the series $\sum x_{\pi(n)}$ is convergent for any permutation $\pi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ of positive integers. The notions of perfect and unconditional convergence are equivalent. Each absolutely convergent series converges perfectly; the converse is true if and only if $X$ is finite-dimensional. In the following, we use the Banach space $\operatorname{Perf}(X)$ of perfectly convergent series in $X$ equipped with the norm $\sup \left\{\left\|\sum \alpha_{n} x_{n}\right\|: \alpha \in D\right\}$. For these notions and facts, see [1].

[^0][^1]Let us consider double series. First, we give a definition of convergence for a double series; this definition is somewhat stronger than the Pringsheim convergence.

Definition 1. A series $\sum x_{i j}$ in a Banach space $X$ converges to $s \in X$ if (a) each column series $\sum_{i} x_{i j}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, converges, (b) each row series $\sum_{j} x_{i j}, i \in \mathbb{N}$, converges, and (c) the sequence of "rectangular" partial sums converges to $s$ :

$$
s=\lim s_{m n}=\lim \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j}: \min (m, n) \rightarrow \infty\right\} .
$$

The notions of absolute, perfect, and unconditional convergence for double series, which are well-adapted to the structure of these series, can be introduced as follows.

Definition 2. A series $\sum x_{i j}$ is said to be absolutely convergent if the number series $\sum\left\|x_{i j}\right\|$ converges.
Definition 3. A series $\sum x_{i j}$ is said to be perfectly convergent if for any $\alpha, \beta \in D$ the series $\sum x_{i j} \alpha_{i} \beta_{j}$ converges.

Definition 4. The series $\sum x_{i j}$ is said to be unconditionally convergent if for any permutations $\pi$ and $\sigma$ of positive integers the series $\sum x_{\pi(i), \sigma(j)}$ converges.

The following statement characterizes perfect convergence in terms of linear operators.
Theorem 1. Consider a double series $\sum x_{i j}$ in a Banach space $X$. The following conditions are equivalent: (A) the series is perfectly convergent; (B) the vector-valued matrix $A=\left(x_{i j}\right)$ generates a compact linear operator $A: c_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Perf}(X)$ by the formula $A e_{j}=\left\{x_{i j}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\left(e_{j}\right)$ is the canonical basis of the space $c_{0}$. The norm of this operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(A)=\sup \left\{\left\|\sum x_{i j} \alpha_{i} \beta_{j}\right\|: \alpha, \beta \in D\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following two theorems describe the relationship between perfect, absolute, and unconditional convergence for double series.

Theorem 2. A double series is perfectly convergent if and only if it is unconditionally convergent.
Theorem 3. There exist double number series that converge perfectly but not absolutely.
Sketch of proof. We construct the desired counterexample using "blocks" obtained by normalizing Walsh matrices appropriately. Recall that the Walsh matrix $W_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, is an orthogonal $m \times m$ matrix, $m=2^{n}$, all of whose entries are equal to $\pm 1$. The sequence of Walsh matrices can be defined inductively as follows:

$$
W_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad W_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
W_{1} & W_{1} \\
W_{1} & -W_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { etc. }
$$

The sum of absolute values of the entries of $W_{n}$ is $N\left(W_{n}\right)=m^{2}$. The functional (1) satisfies the inequality $M\left(W_{n}\right) \leq m^{3 / 2}$. Let us introduce the matrices $A_{n}=m^{-2} W_{n}$. Clearly, $N\left(A_{n}\right)=1$ and $M\left(A_{n}\right) \leq 1 / \sqrt{m}$. Consider the infinite block diagonal matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ with diagonal blocks $A_{n}$. The double series correspording to this matrix converges perfectly $(M(A) \leq \sqrt{2}+1)$, but not absolutely $(N(A)=\infty)$.

Remark 1. Theorems 1 and 3 remain valid if we reject conditions (a) and (b) in Definition 1. However, Theorem 2 fails in this case. A counterexample is given by the double series $\sum a_{i j}$, where

$$
a_{1 j}=(-1)^{j}, a_{2 j}=-a_{1 j}, a_{i j}=0 \quad \text { for } i>2, j \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Remark 2. Although the notions of unconditional and absolute convergence of double series are different, the following statement is valid: if a series $\sum a_{i j}$ is unconditionally convergent, then the series $\sum a_{i j}^{2}$ converges.
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## On the Deformation Quantization, on a Kähler Manifold, Associated with a Berezin Quantization

## A. V. Karabegov

UDC 517.9
In recent years, a series of papers [1-4] appeared in which the deformation quantization, on certain classes of Kähler manifolds, arising from a Berezin quantization [5] was studied. On an arbitrary symplectic manifold, the deformation quantization introduced in [6] can be constructed in many different ways [7-9]. In the present note, we give the construction of a deformation quantization on an arbitrary Kähler manifold by using Fedosov's approach [9] and indicate the relationship between this quantization and a Berezin one. For all cases considered in [1-4], the deformation quantization coincides with that constructed in the present paper.

1. Let us give the necessary definitions. A deformation quantization on a symplectic manifold $M$ is the structure of an associative algebra in the space of formal power series $\mathcal{F}=C^{\infty}(M)[[\nu]]$ in which the multiplication $\star$ is given by a sequence of bidifferential operators $\left\{C_{r}(\cdot, \cdot)\right\}, r=0,1, \ldots ;$ namely, for $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ we set $f \star g=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \nu^{r} C_{r}(f, g)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}(f, g)=f g, \quad C_{1}(f, g)-C_{1}(g, f)=i\{f, g\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the Poisson bracket on $M$ which is naturally extended to $\mathcal{F}$.
A (special) Berezin quantization on $M$ is given by a family of associative algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar} \subset C^{\infty}(M)$, where the parameter $\hbar$ ranges over a set $E$ of positive reals with limit point 0 . Then in the product $\prod_{\hbar \in E} \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$, with component-wise product $*$, one chooses a subalgebra $\mathcal{U}$ such that for an arbitrary element $f=f(\hbar) \in U$, where $f(\hbar) \in \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$, there exists a limit $\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} f(\hbar)=\varphi(f) \in C^{\infty}(M)$. The following correspondence principle must hold: for $f, g \in \mathcal{U}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(f * g)=\varphi(f) \varphi(g), \quad \varphi\left(\hbar^{-1}(f * g-g * f)\right)=i\{\varphi(f), \varphi(g)\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can readily extend the Berezin definition of quantization to associate with it a deformation quantization. Assume that the algebra $\mathcal{U}$ is chosen in such a way that its elements $f=f(\hbar)$ can be expanded in asymptotic series with respect to $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, with coefficients from $C^{\infty}(M), f \sim \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \hbar^{r} f_{r}$. Define a mapping $\psi: U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by setting $\psi(f)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \nu^{r} f_{r}$. We say that the Berezin quantization is associated with a deformation quantization (i.e., with the structure of an algebra) on $\mathcal{F}$ if $\psi$ is an algebra homomorphism. In this case, the correspondence principle (2) follows from (1).
2. Let us now define a deformation quantization on an arbitrary Kähler manifold. Let $M$ be a Kähler manifold, let $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} M=2 m$, and let $\omega$ be a Kähler form on $M$. For any open subset $U \subset M$ we write $\mathcal{F}(U)=C^{\infty}(U)[[\nu]]$. On $\mathcal{F}(U)$, there is an action of the formal series of differential operators. Let $U$ be a coordinate chart with coordinates $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right)$ on which a potential $\Phi=\Phi(z, \bar{z})$ of the Kähler form
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