

Note Added in Proof. After this article was sent to press, a letter from Professor Atiyah informed us that analogous results were obtained independently of us by Atiyah and Hitchin was the aid of the Horrocks-Barth technique.

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. A. Belavin, A. Polyakov, A. Schwarz, and Y. Tyurkin, Phys. Lett., 59B, 85-87 (1975).
2. A. Polyakov, Nuclear Physics, B120, 429-458 (1977).
3. M. F. Atiyah and R. S. Ward, Comm. Math. Phys., 55, 117-124 (1977).
4. M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin, and I. M. Singer, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 24, No. 7, 2662-2663 (1977).
5. A. S. Schwarz, Phys. Lett., 67B, 172-174 (1977).
6. W. Barth, Invent. Math., 42, 63-91 (1977).
7. A. A. Belavin and V. E. Zakharov, Preprint, Chernogolovka (1977).
8. A. A. Belavin and V. E. Zakharov, Pis'ma Zh. Éksp. Theor. Fiz., 25, 603-607 (1977).

#### THE SUPERREFLEXIVITY PROPERTY OF A BANACH SPACE IN TERMS OF THE CLOSENESS OF ITS FINITE-DIMENSIONAL SUBSPACES TO EUCLIDEAN SPACES

M. I. Kadets

UDC 513.881

For a Banach space  $X$  and a natural number  $n$  we define the functional

$$d_2(X, n) = \sup \{d(X_n, l_2^{(n)}) : X_n \subset X, \dim X_n = n\}.$$

In this note we prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** Let  $X$  be a real Banach space. If for some natural number  $n$

$$d_2(X, n) < M_n \equiv \left( \sum_{v=1}^n \sin^{-1} \frac{\pi}{n} \left( v - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \left| n \sim \frac{2}{\pi} \ln n, \right.$$

then  $X$  is superreflexive.

According to the James-Schäffer criterion [1] (see also [2]), a space is not superreflexive if and only if for any  $n$  and  $\varepsilon$  there is a collection  $\{e_k\}_1^n$  of normalized vectors in it such that

$$\left\| - \sum_{k=1}^m e_k + \sum_{m+1}^n e_k \right\| \geq n(1-\varepsilon) \quad (0 \leq m < n). \quad (J)$$

To simplify the calculations we introduce the "idealized condition  $J$ ": for any  $n$  there is a collection of normalized vectors such that

$$\left\| - \sum_{k=1}^m e_k + \sum_{m+1}^n e_k \right\| = n \quad (0 \leq m < n). \quad (J_0)$$

The condition  $J_0$  can be given the equivalent form

$$\left\| - \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k e_k + \sum_{m+1}^n \lambda_k e_k \right\| = 1 \quad (\lambda_k \geq 0, \sum \lambda_k = 1, 0 \leq m < n). \quad (J_1)$$

In other words, a Banach space is not superreflexive if and only if for any  $n$  there is an  $n$ -dimensional subspace  $E$  of it whose norm (to within  $\varepsilon$ ) coincides with the  $l_1$ -norm on those vectors  $x = \sum \alpha_k e_k$ , whose coordinates admit not more than one change of sign.

---

Kharkov Engineering Institute of Municipal Construction. Translated from *Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya*, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 80-81, April-June, 1978. Original article submitted March 29, 1977.

**LEMMA.** If a basis  $\{e_k\}_n$  ( $\|e_k\| = 1$ ) of an  $n$ -dimensional real normed space  $E$  satisfies the condition  $J_1$ , then  $d_2(E, l_2^{(n)}) \geq M_n$ , and the equality sign can be attained.

**Proof.** In  $E$  we introduce the coordinatewise scalar product. Define in  $E$  a linear operator  $T$  acting according to the equations  $Te_k = e_{k+1}$  for  $1 \leq k < n$  and  $Te_n = -e_1$ . With the aid of  $T$  the condition  $J_1$  can be rewritten as follows:

$$\|T^m x\| = 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq m < n \text{ and } x \in \Omega_0 \equiv \{x \in E, (x, e_k) \geq 0, \sum (x, e_k) = 1\}.$$

We define some more sets in  $E$ : the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplexes  $\Omega_m$  and their union

$$\Omega_m = T^m \Omega_0 \quad (0 \leq m < 2n), \quad \Omega = \bigcup \Omega_m,$$

the parallelepiped

$$\Pi = \left\{ x \in E, |(x, T^m e)| \leq 1, 0 \leq m < n, e = \sum_1^n e_k \right\}$$

and its faces

$$\Pi_m = T^m \Pi_0, \quad \Pi_0 = \{x \in \Pi, (x, e) = 1\}, \quad \partial \Pi = \bigcup \Pi_m.$$

It is not hard to show that  $T\Omega = \Omega$ ,  $T\Pi = \Pi$ , and that each simplex  $\Omega_m$  lies on the corresponding face  $\Pi_m$  of the parallelepiped  $\Pi$ .

The (Banach-Mazur) distance from  $E$  to  $l_2^{(n)}$  can be determined as

$$d(E, l_2^{(n)}) = \min_Q \{ \max_{x \in S} [VQ(x)] / \min_{x \in S} [VQ(x)] \},$$

where  $Q$  runs over all positive-definite quadratic forms on  $E$ , and  $S$  is the unit sphere of  $E$ . Since, by the condition  $J_1$ , the unit ball of  $E$  contains the simplexes  $\Omega_m$  on its sphere and is itself contained in the parallelepiped  $\Pi$ , the distance can be estimated from below as follows:

$$d(E, l_2^{(n)}) \geq \inf_Q V\varphi(Q), \text{ where } \varphi(Q) = \frac{\max_{x \in \Omega} Q(x)}{\min_{x \in \partial \Pi} Q(x)}. \quad (1)$$

The functional  $\varphi$ , which is defined on the set of all positive-definite forms, satisfies the relations

$$\varphi(\lambda Q) = \varphi(Q) \quad (\lambda > 0), \quad \varphi(Q_1 + Q_2) \leq \max\{\varphi(Q_1), \varphi(Q_2)\}. \quad (2)$$

Suppose that  $\varphi$  attains a lower bound on the forms  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ . Then, by (2), it also attains its lower bound on any form  $Q = \lambda Q_1 + (1-\lambda)Q_2$  ( $0 < \lambda < 1$ ). Since the sets  $\Omega$  and  $\partial \Pi$  appearing in (1) are invariant with respect to  $T$ ,  $\varphi$  takes one and the same value on all the forms  $Q_m(x) = Q(T^m x)$  ( $0 \leq m < n$ ). By the properties mentioned for the functional  $\varphi$  and the sets  $\Omega$  and  $\partial \Pi$ , for the greatest lower bound in (1) we can restrict our search to the forms that are invariant under  $T$ :  $Q(x) = Q(Tx)$ . Moreover, we can let  $x$  run over only  $\Omega_0$  and  $\Pi_0$ , and not over the sets  $\Omega$  and  $\partial \Pi$ . Finally, we can require that  $Q(e_1) = 1$ , from which it follows that  $Q(e_k) = 1$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ).

Each form satisfying these conditions can be represented in the form  $Q(x) = (Bx, x)$ , where  $B$  is a symmetric operator with positive spectrum that commutes with  $T$  and is normalized by the condition  $(Be_k, e_k) = 1$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ). Since the operator  $T$  (more precisely, its extension to the natural complexification  $\bar{E}$  of the space  $E$ ) is, with respect to the coordinatewise scalar product, an isometry with simple spectrum  $\lambda_\nu = \exp(\pi i(2\nu-1)/n)$  and eigenvectors  $h_\nu = \left( \sum_k \lambda_\nu^{-k} e_k \right) / \sqrt{n}$  ( $1 \leq \nu < n$ ), the operator  $B$  can be represented in the form

$$Bx = \sum_{\nu=1}^n b_\nu \cdot (x, h_\nu) \cdot h_\nu, \quad (b_\nu > 0, \sum b_\nu = n, b_\nu = b_{n-\nu+1}). \quad (3)$$

Accordingly, we need to calculate

$$M^2 = \inf_B \{ \max [(Bx, x): x \in \Omega_0] / \min [(Bx, x): x \in \Pi_0] \},$$

where  $B$  runs through the operators of the form (3). By the convexity of the form  $(Bx, x)$ , its maximum is attained on the boundary points, i.e., on the unit vectors  $e_k$ , and, hence, is equal to one. Therefore,

$$M^2 = \inf_{x \in \Pi_0} [\min (Bx, x)]^{-1}.$$

In the usual way we get that the minimum of the quadratic form  $(Bx, x)$  on the plane  $\{x \in E: (x, e) = 1\}$  is attained at the point  $x_0 = B^{-1}e / (B^{-1}e, e)$ , which, as is not hard to show, belongs to  $\Pi_0$ . Thus,

$$M^2 = \inf_B (Bx_0, x_0)^{-1} = \inf_B (B^{-1}e, e) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^n b_\nu^{-1} |(h_\nu, e)|^2: b_\nu > 0, \sum b_\nu = n \right\}. \quad (4)$$

This greatest lower bound is attained for

$$b_\nu = n |(h_\nu, e)| \left( \sum_{\mu} |(h_\mu, e)| \right)^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

From (4) and (5) we get that

$$M_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\nu} |(h_\nu, e)| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu} \sin^{-1} \frac{\pi}{n} \left( \nu - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

It remains to prove the existence of a space  $E_n$  for which  $d(E_n, l_2^{(n)}) = M_n$ . We get such a space if we take the unit ball to be the convex hull of the octahedron  $V = \{x \in E: \sum |x, e_k| \leq 1\}$  and the ellipsoid  $E = \{x \in E: (B_0 x, x) \leq M_n^{-2}\}$ , where  $B_0$  is an operator optimizing (4).

The proof of the theorem follows immediately from the lemma.

**COROLLARY.** If  $\sup d(X_s, l_1^{(3)}) < M_3 = 5/3$ , for all three-dimensional subspaces  $X_s$  of  $X$ , then  $X$  is superreflexive.

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. R. C. James and J. J. Schäffer, Israel J. Math., 9, No. 4, 388-404 (1972).
2. M. I. Kadets, in: Results in Science and Technology. Mathematical Analysis [in Russian], Vol. 13, VINITI, Moscow (1975), pp. 99-127.

#### ALGEBRAS OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS ON LOCALLY CONNECTED COMPACT SPACES

M. I. Karakhanyan

UDC 517.53

1. Let  $X$  be a locally connected compact space, and  $A$  a closed subalgebra of the algebra  $C(X)$  of all continuous complex functions on  $X$ . It was shown in [1] that if  $A$  separates points, contains the constants, and  $A = A^2$  (i.e., each element in  $A$  has the form  $g^2, g \in A$ ), then  $A = C(X)$ . This general fact turns out to be useful in certain problems of multidimensional holomorphic approximation. Generalizations are given in [2, 3]. In this note we describe some further advances.

Everywhere in the following article the compact set  $X$  is assumed to be locally connected. The algebra  $A$  is assumed to have a unit. For an algebra with unit we denote by  $A^{-1}$  the group of invertible elements, by  $M(A)$  the maximal ideal space, by  $\Gamma(A)$  the Shilov boundary, and by  $\Gamma_0(A)$  the Choquet boundary. The expression  $uf \in A^n$  means that  $uf = g^n$  for some function  $g \in A$  and some function  $u$  such that  $|u| = 1$  and  $u$  is constant on the connected components of the complement to the zero set of  $f$ . If this is true for all  $f \in A$ , then we write  $uA = A^n$ .

2. The following lemmas are established by the scheme described in [2].

**LEMMA 1.** If  $uA = A^n$  for some fixed  $n \geq 2$ , then the algebra  $A$  is symmetric.